

Question stats: None Present

Staff: Separate entries Combine by type

Free text questions: Hide Show count Show comments

Questions to include: Centrally defined only (exclude school questions) All

Submit Query

Search:

Section Type	Question text	Left label	MT5802	Right label
Lecturer	Lecture material was well organised	excellent	<p>n=10 av=1.2 dev=0.4</p>	poor
Lecturer	The lecturer was good at explaining things	excellent	<p>n=10 av=1.8 dev=0.75</p>	poor
Lecturer	The teaching style was engaging	excellent	<p>n=10 av=1.8 dev=1.25</p>	poor
Lecturer	I was able to contact the lecturer if I needed to	excellent	<p>n=10 av=1.2 dev=0.4</p>	poor
Freetext	<p>Â Please indicate the aspects of this module that most helped you increase your confidence in your own knowledge and skills.</p>		<p>The lecturer always has his door open, so was very helpful in clearing any doubt or satisfy extra questions curiosity</p> <p>Chuong was a fantastic lecturer, and made it clear to us from day one that we could come to his office for help at any time we wanted. Being so approachable and willing to help made a HUGE difference to me. I went to speak to Chuong a number of times, and every time he clearly explained whatever I was stuck on, and this really helped me understand the course material. I have never had a lecturer so approachable and helpful before.</p> <p>Introduced a variety of new techniques which pulled on knowledge from throughout degree. Gave me confidence combining different areas of mathematics.</p> <p>Draws on a lot of different mathematical concepts so feels like a culmination of all the techniques learnt at uni.</p> <p>The assessed coursework is a good aspect of this module as it meant I worked on this module consistently throughout the semester.</p>	
Freetext	<p>Â Please add any general comments you would like to</p>		<p>Lecturer was super available and helpful. We finished course material at the end of week 9, which is great for revising, but he still decided to rush through tutorial</p>	
	Number of responses		66.7 % (10/15)	

Section Type	Question text	Left label	MT5802	Right label
	make about this module, e.g. what worked well and where improvements could be made.		<p>questions that week. I think that having 2 weeks left, he could have gone slower and in more detail with those. Still, pretty good module.</p> <p>One thing which I thought worked well was the assessment system of the 4 take home assignments. We were given a fair amount of time to complete them, and were allowed to seek help. This meant that we actually learned from doing the assessments, and that no unnecessary marks were lost if we understood the material. The only problem is that the final deadline comes at a time very close to the end of 'dissertation season', so it has been difficult for me to find time for it. Would have been helpful to know what the breakdown of marks for each question was in the assignments.</p> <p>Would maybe like to see some more physical applications of the techniques we are using. I.e. computationally applying rayleigh ritz procedure.</p> <p>Would like to use techniques to solve more physical problems. Also would like to learn briefly about the history of such techniques a bit more.</p>	
Engagement	I directed my own learning beyond the minimum module guidelines (e.g. reading beyond the booklist, attending, attending events related to the topic)	strongly agree	<p>10% 60% 10% 20% 0%</p> <p>n=10 av=2.4 dev=0.92</p>	strongly disagree
Engagement	On average, what was the total time you spent working on this module per week?		<p>0-5 hours: 1 5-10 hours: 2 10-15 hours: 7 15-20 hours: 0 more than 20 hours: 0</p>	
Core	The module was organised well and ran smoothly	strongly agree	<p>50% 50% 0% 0% 0%</p> <p>n=10 av=1.5 dev=0.5</p>	strongly disagree
Core	Online resources for this module were helpful (answer only if applicable)	strongly agree	<p>50% 40% 10% 0% 0%</p> <p>n=10 av=1.6 dev=0.66</p>	strongly disagree
	Number of responses		66.7 % (10/15)	

Section Type	Question text	Left label	MT5802	Right label
Core	Assessment arrangements and marking have been fair	strongly agree	<p>n=10 av=1.3 dev=0.46</p>	strongly disagree
Core	Methods of assessment allowed me to demonstrate my learning	strongly agree	<p>n=10 av=1.6 dev=0.66</p>	strongly disagree
Core	When I submitted my work punctually, feedback was provided within the School's declared timeframe	strongly agree	<p>n=10 av=1 dev=0</p>	strongly disagree
Core	The criteria used in marking were made clear in advance	strongly agree	<p>n=10 av=1.6 dev=0.8</p>	strongly disagree
Core	Feedback on my work has helped me	strongly agree	<p>n=10 av=1.4 dev=0.49</p>	strongly disagree
Core	Overall, I rate this module	excellent	<p>n=10 av=1.4 dev=0.49</p>	poor
	Number of responses		66.7 % (10/15)	